

Optimization by n -homogeneous polynomial perturbations *

C. Finet[†], P. Georgiev^{††}

[†] Université de Mons-Hainaut
Institut de Mathématique et d'Informatique
15, Avenue Maistriau
7000 Mons, BELGIUM

^{††} University of Sofia
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
5, James Bourchier Blvd.
1126 Sofia, BULGARIA

We deal with infinite dimensional optimization in Banach spaces, finding an existence result for maximum (or minimum) points for a certain type of functions.

A remarkable result in this direction is the Stegall variational principle [10]: if C is a nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subset of a Banach space, C has the Radon-Nikodym property and f is an upper bounded upper semicontinuous real-valued function on C , then there exists an arbitrarily small linear continuous perturbation φ such that $f + \varphi$ attains its strong maximum on C . Our aim in this note is to obtain a Stegall's type result showing that we have an arbitrarily small continuous n -homogeneous polynomial perturbation (n -odd natural number) with the same property.

*This work was partially supported by the National Foundation for Scientific Investigation in Bulgaria under contract number MM 506/1995 and the Banque Nationale de Belgique. The second author thanks for the hospitality of the University of Mons-Hainaut during his visit in June 1995, where a part of this work was done.

There are many other variational principles, for example, Ekeland's variational principle [6], which has the same hypotheses on the function to be maximized but without assuming the Radon-Nikodym property, and gives a small perturbation which is only Lipschitz. A general question in the optimization theory is to find good perturbations (assuring existence of a point of minimum of the perturbed function) in some class of perturbations. In many cases the set of good perturbations is dense (even G_δ) in the set of all perturbations. For further information about variational principles and perturbed optimization see for example : [3-10].

Our result in this note is related, as well, to the paper of R.Aron, C.Finet and E.Werner [2], where an extension of the Bishop-Phelps theorem is proved for n -linear continuous forms in some spaces. Their arguments shows also the denseness of norm-attaining n -homogeneous polynomials in a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property. M.D.Acosta, F.J.Aguirre and R.Paya [1] constructed examples, showing that the results in [2] are not valid in arbitrary Banach spaces.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. We shall recall the following definitions.

Definition 1 (a) Suppose that $C \subset X$ is a nonempty set and that f is an upper bounded real-valued function on C . For each $\alpha \geq 0$ define the slice of C by

$$S(f, \alpha) = \{x \in C : f(x) \geq \sup f(C) - \alpha\}$$

(b) A nonempty subset A of X is said to be dentable provided it admits slices of arbitrarily small diameter, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x^* \in E^*$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\text{diam}S(x^*, A, \alpha) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2 A subset A of X is said to have the Radon-Nikodym property if every nonempty bounded subset of A is dentable.

We say that f attains its strong maximum at x over C , if $f(x) = \sup f(C)$ and $\|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$.

Let us denote by $F_n(X)$ the space of all continuous and symmetric n -linear forms on $X \times \dots \times X$ into \mathbf{R} endowed with the norm

$$\|A\| = \sup\{|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)| : \|x_i\| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

If $A \in F_n(X)$, then consider the function $\varphi_A : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\varphi_A(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$; φ_A is called n -homogeneous polynomial on X . We denote by $P_n(X)$ the Banach space of all continuous n -homogeneous polynomials on X , endowed with the norm:

$$\|\varphi_A\| = \sup\{|\varphi_A(x)|, \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

Theorem 3 *Suppose that $C \subset X$ is a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex set with the Radon-Nikodym property. Let f be an upper bounded upper semicontinuous real-valued function on C . Then for every odd integer n there exists a dense G_δ subset Q_n of $P_n(X)$ such that for every $\varphi \in Q_n$, $f + \varphi$ attains its strong maximum on C .*

Our proof follows the ideas of the proof of Stegall's variational principle [10], given in the book of Phelps [8]. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4 ([8]). *Suppose that $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of nonempty subsets of X with the following property: there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $x \in \text{co}A_n$ and $y \in X$*

$$\text{dist}[x, \text{co}(A_{n+1} \setminus B(y; \varepsilon))] \leq \lambda/2^n$$

Then the set

$$A := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \overline{\bigcup_{j \geq n} \text{co}A_j}$$

is nonempty and not dentable.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and is omitted.

Lemma 5 ([8]). *Suppose that the real-valued function f is bounded above on the nonempty subset C of X . Then for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $S(f + \varphi, \beta) \subset S(f, \alpha)$, whenever $\varphi \in P_n(X)$ and $\|\varphi\| < \beta$.*

Lemma 6 . Let C be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of X with the Radon-Nikodym property, f be an upper semicontinuous, real-valued, bounded above function on C and n be an odd integer number. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\varphi \in P_n(X)$, $\|\varphi\| < \varepsilon$, and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\text{diam } S(f + \varphi, \alpha) \leq 2\varepsilon$.

Proof. Proceeding by contradiction, suppose that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\varphi \in P_n(X)$, $\|\varphi\| < \varepsilon$ and each $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\text{diam } S(f + \varphi, \alpha) > 2\varepsilon$$

For each m let

$$A_m = \cup \{S(f + \varphi, \frac{1}{4mn}) : \varphi \in P_n(X), \|\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2m}\}.$$

The set A_m is nonempty. Take $\lambda = 5/2$. We will show that the sequence $\{A_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4, which will give us a contradiction, since C has the Radon-Nikodym property. We want to show that, for any natural m and $y \in X$,

$$\text{co}A_m \subset \text{co}(A_{m+1} \setminus B(y; \varepsilon)) + \frac{\lambda}{2^m}B(0; 1). \quad (1)$$

Let m be fixed natural number. Since the set of the right side is convex, it suffices to prove that it contains A_m . Suppose that $x \in A_m$ but for some $y \in X$, it is not in the right hand side of (1).

By the separation theorem, there exists $y^* \in X^*$, $\|y^*\| = 1$ such that

$$\langle y^*, x \rangle > \sup\{\langle y^*, a \rangle : a \in A_{m+1} \setminus B(y; \varepsilon)\} + \frac{\lambda}{2^m}$$

Then

$$\langle y^*, x \rangle^n > (\langle y^*, a \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2^m})^n \quad \forall a \in A_{m+1} \setminus B(y; \varepsilon) \quad (2)$$

Now suppose that $x \in S(f + \varphi, \frac{1}{4nm})$ with $\varphi \in P_n(X)$ and $\|\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2m}$. Consider

$$P(z) = \varphi(z) + r\langle y^*, z \rangle^n$$

with $r = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}$. As $\varphi \in P_n(X)$, let H in $F_n(X)$ be the corresponding symmetric form. Then

$$P(z) = L(z, \dots, z),$$

where

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = H(x_1, \dots, x_n) + r\langle y^*, x_1 \rangle \dots \langle y^*, x_n \rangle.$$

Therefore $L \in F_n(X)$, $P \in P_n(X)$ and

$$\|P\| \leq \|\varphi\| + r \leq \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}.$$

Then $S(f + P, \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}})$ is contained in A_{m+1} . But as $P \in P_n(X)$ and $\|P\| < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\text{diam } S(f + P, \frac{1}{2^{(m+1)n}}) > 2\varepsilon.$$

Then there exists z in $S(f + P, \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}}) \setminus B(y; \varepsilon)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (f + P)(z) &\geq \sup(f + P)(C) - \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}} \\ &\geq (f + P)(x) - \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}} \\ &= (f + \varphi)(x) + r\langle y^*, x \rangle^n - \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}} \quad (\text{by definition of } P) \\ &\geq \sup(f + \varphi)(C) - \frac{1}{4^{mn}} + r\langle y^*, x \rangle^n - \frac{1}{4^{(m+1)n}} \\ &\quad (\text{as } x \in S(f + \varphi, \frac{1}{4^{mn}})) \\ &> (f + \varphi)(z) - \frac{1}{4^{mn}}(1 + \frac{1}{4^n}) + r(\langle y^*, z \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2^m})^n \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &= (f + P)(z) - r\langle y^*, z \rangle^n - \frac{1}{4^{mn}}(1 + \frac{1}{4^n}) + r(\langle y^*, z \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2^m})^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$2^{m(1-n)+1}(1 + \frac{1}{4^n}) > 2^{mn}((\langle y^*, z \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2^m})^n - \langle y^*, z \rangle^n). \quad (3)$$

Case 1. $n = 1$.

Then by (3) we obtain $\frac{5}{2} > \lambda$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $n \geq 3$ and $\langle y^*, z \rangle \neq 0$.

Consider the real function

$$\xi(t) = (at + \lambda)^n - (at)^n,$$

where $a = \langle y^*, z \rangle$ (recall that n is an odd natural number). It is easy to see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} = +\infty$, ξ attains its global minimum over \mathbf{R} at the point $t_0 = -\frac{\lambda}{2a}$ and $\xi(t_0) = 2(\frac{\lambda}{2})^n$. Then the left side of (3) is less than $2(\frac{\lambda}{2})^n$, a contradiction with (3).

Case 3. $n \geq 3$ and $\langle y^*, z \rangle = 0$.

By (3) we obtain a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3. Fix n and for every natural m define

$$Q_n^m = \{\varphi \in P_n(X) : \text{diam } S(f + \varphi, \alpha_m) < \frac{1}{m} \text{ for some } \alpha_m > 0\}.$$

By Lemma 6, for each m , Q_n^m is dense in $P_n(X)$ and it is open by Lemma 5. By the Baire category theorem, the set

$$Q_n = \bigcap_m Q_n^m$$

is a dense G_δ subset of $P_n(X)$.

Since f is upper semicontinuous, the set $S(f + \varphi, \alpha_m)$ is a closed set. Let x_0 be the unique common point of the sets $S(f + \varphi, \alpha_m)$, $m \geq 1$ and let $\varphi \in Q_n$, $\{x_n\} \subset C$, $(f + \varphi)(x_n) \rightarrow \sup_{x \in C} (f + \varphi)(x)$. Then for every $m \geq 1$ there exists ν such that $x_n \in S(f + \varphi, \alpha_m)$ for every $n > \nu$. This means $\|x_n - x_0\| < \frac{1}{m}$, i.e. $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and the theorem is proved.

It is easy to see that Theorem 3 is not valid for even n : take, for example, $X = \mathbf{R}$, $C = [0, 1]$, $n = 2$ and $f(x) = x^2$.

REFERENCES

1. M.D. Acosta, F.J. Aguirre and R. Paya, There is no bilinear Bishop-Phelps theorem. *Israel J. Math.*, **93** (1996), 221-227.
2. R.M. Aron, C. Finet and E. Werner, Some remarks on norm attaining n -linear forms. *Function spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1994), 19-28, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **172**, Dekker, New York, 1995.
3. J. Bourgain, La propriété de Radon-Nikodym, *Publ. Math. de l'Univ. Pierr et Marie Curie*, **36** (1979).
4. R. Deville, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces, Longmann (1993).

5. A.L. Dontchev and T. Zolezzi, Well posed optimization problems, Lecture Notes in Math. 1543, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
6. I. Ekeland, Nonconvex minimization problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, (New Series), 1 (1079), 443-474.
7. N. Ghoussoub, B. Maurey, H_δ embeddings in Hilbert space and optimization on G_δ sets. Memories of the American Math. Soc., 62, No.349 (1986).
8. R.R. Phelps, Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, Vol. 1364.
9. Recent development in well-posed variational problems, Mathematics and Its Applications Vol. 331, R.Lucchetti and J. Revalski (eds.), Kluwer Acad, Publ., 1995.
10. C. Stegall, Optimization of functions on certain subsets of Banach spaces, Math. Ann., 236 (1978), 171-176.

C. Finet
Universit de Mons-Hainaut
Institut de Mathematique
"Le Pentagone"
Avenue du Champ de Mars, 6
B7000 Mons (Belgique)
catherine.finet@umh.ac.be

Pando Georgiev
University of Sofia
Department of Mathematics and In-
formatics
James Bourchier Blvd., 5
1126 Sofia (Bulgaria)
pandogg@fmi.uni-sofia.bg