A FOX INTEGRAL TRANSFORMATION OF GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

Jorge J. BETANCOR

ABSTRACT.

In this paper an integral transformation introduced by Ch. Fox is extended to a certain spaces of generalized functions. Boundedness, smoothness and inversion theorems are established for the generalized transformation.

A.M.S. Subject Classification: Primary 46F12. Secondary 44A15

Key Words: Integral transformations, Bessel functions, Fox, Generalized functions.

1. Introduction. The integral transformation defined by

$$F(y) = H_{E}(f(x))(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)(xy)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{E(u)} J_{\mu}(ux) J_{\mu}(uy) du dx$$

where $E(u) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+u^2a_n^{-2})$, a_n is real for all nonnegative integer values of n, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-2}$ is convergent, was introduced by Ch. Fox [2] who established the following inversion formula.

Theorem 1 ([2]): Let $\mu \ge \frac{1}{2}$. If $f(x) \in L_1(0,\infty)$ and it is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of x=y, then the integral transform

$$F(y) = \int_0^\infty f(x)(xy)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{u}{E(u)} J_{\mu}(ux) J_{\mu}(uy) du dx$$

Présenté par J. Schmets le 16 mai 1991

is inverted by the differential operator

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-a_n^{-2}S_{\mu,y})F(y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(y+0)+f(y-0))$$

where S $_{\mu,y}$ denotes the differential operator $y^{-\mu\text{-}(1/2)}Dy^{2\mu+1}Dy^{-\mu\text{-}(1/2)}$.

Our main objetive in this paper is to extend the classical H_E transformation to generalized functions. We extend the inversion formula due to Ch. Fox [2] (Theorem 1) to certain spaces of generalized functions. Other form of Hankel convolution was defined on distributions by J.N. Pandey [8]. The spaces of J.N. Pandey are different to the ones introduced by us herein.

The notation and terminology of this work will follow that [9] and [15]. I denotes the open interval $(0,\infty)$ and all testing functions herein are defined on I. If f is a generalized function on I, the notation f(t) simply indicates that the testing functions on which f is define have t as their independent variable. $\langle f(t), \phi(t) \rangle$ denotes the number assigned to some element $\phi(t)$ in a testing function space by a member f of the dual space. Finally D(I) is the space of infinitely differentiable functions defined on I having compact support. The topology of D(I) is that which makes its dual the space D'(I) of Schwartz distributions.

2. The testing function space T_{μ} and its dual T'_{μ} . Let μ be a fixed real number. We define T_{μ} as the collection of infinitely differentiable complex valued functions $\phi(t)$ defined on I such that

$$\gamma_k(\phi) = \sup_{x \in I} |\xi(x) S_{\mu}^k \phi(x)| < \infty$$

for each k=0,1,2,..., where $\xi(x)$ is a nonnegative regular function defined on I such that $\lim_{x\to 0} \xi(x) = \lim_{x\to \infty} \xi(x) = 0$.

We assign to T_{μ} the topology generated by the seminorms $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, thereby making it a countably multinormed space [15]. The dual space T_{μ} consists of

all continuous linear functionals on T_{μ} . T_{μ} is also a linear space to which we endowed with the weak topology generated by the multinorm $\{\eta_{\varphi}\}$, where $\eta_{\varphi}(f)=|\langle f,\varphi\rangle|$ and φ ranges through T_{μ} .

It is obvious that the space D(I) is contained in T_{μ} , and the topology of D(I) is stronger than that induced on it by T_{μ} . Hence the restriction of any $f \in T_{II}$ to D(I) is in D'(I).

Next, we give a structure formula for the restriction of an element of T_{μ} to D(I).

Proposition 1: Let f be in T_{μ} . Then there exist essentially bounded measurable functions $g_i(x)$ defined for x>0, for i=0,1,2,...,r, where r is some nonnegative integer depending on f such that for an arbitrary $\phi \in D(I)$ we have

$$< f, \phi > = < \sum_{m=0}^{r} S_{\mu}^{m}(\xi(x)(-D)g_{m}(x)), \phi(x) >$$

PROOF: By virtue of [15, Theorem 1.8-1] there exist a constant C>0 and a nonnegative integer r depending on f such that for all $\phi \in D(I)$

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \gamma_k(\phi) \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup_{x \in I} |\xi(x)S_{\mu}^{k} \phi(x)| \leq$$

$$\leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup_{x \in I} \int_{0}^{x} |D_{t}(\xi(t)S_{\mu}^{k} \phi(t))| dt \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \|D_{t}(\xi(t)S_{\mu}^{k} \phi(t))\|_{1}$$

$$(1)$$

where $\| \|_1$ denotes the norm in the space $L_1(0,\infty)$.

Hence, if we define the mapping

$$T:D(I) \xrightarrow{} TD(I) \subset L_{1}(0,\infty)x.\overset{r+1}{\ldots}xL_{1}(0,\infty)=(L_{1}(0,\infty))^{r+1}$$

$$\phi \xrightarrow{} T(\phi) = (D_{t}(\xi(t)S_{\mu}^{k}\phi(t)))_{k=0}^{r}$$

according to (1), the mapping

is linear and continuous when TD(I) is endowed with the topology induced in it by $(L_1(0,\infty))^{r+1}$. By using the Hahn-Banach theorem J can be continuously

extended to $(L_1(0,\infty))^{r+1}$. Moreover, by taking into account that the dual of $L_1(0,\infty)$ is equivalent to $L_\infty(0,\infty)$ (see F. Treves [11]) there exist essentially bounded measurable functions $g_m(x)$ defined on I, m=0,1,2,...,r satisfying

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

One can easily check that if f(x) is a function on I such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{\xi(x)} dx < \infty$$

then f(x) generates a regular generalized function on T₁₁ defined by

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)\phi(x)dx, \ \phi \in T_{\mu}.$$

Proposition 2: If $\mu \ge \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$K(x,y) = (xy)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{u}{E(u)} J_{\mu}(ux) J_{\mu}(uy) du$$

as function of x, is in T_{μ} , for every $y \in I$.

PROOF: By using the relation

$$S_{\mu}z^{1/2}J_{\mu}(z) = -z^{1/2}J_{\mu}(z) \tag{2}$$

we can deduce that

$$S_{\mu, x}^{m}K(x,y) = S_{\mu, x}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E(u)} (ux)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(ux) (uy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(uy) du =$$

$$= (-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2m}}{E(u)} (ux)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(ux) (uy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(uy) du$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

Hence, since $z^{1/2}J_{\mu}(z)$ is a bounded function for $z \in (0,\infty)$ provided that $\mu \ge \frac{1}{2}$, one has

$$\sup_{x \in I} |\xi(x) S_{\mu, x}^{m} K(x, y)| \le C \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2m}}{E(u)} du < \infty$$

for every m∈N, where C is a suitable positive constant.

Therefore $K(x,y) \in T_{\mu}$, for $y \in I$, when $\mu \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

3. The generalized H_E transformation. Throughout this section we assume that $\mu \ge \frac{1}{2}$. For $f \in T_{\mu}$ we define the generalized H_E transform by the relation

$$F(y) = (H_{E}f)(y) = \langle f(x), K(x,y) \rangle, y > 0$$
 (3)

Here K(x,y) is defined as in Proposition 2.

Notice that (3) is well defined according to Proposition 2. Moreover, if f generates a regular distribution then the generalized $H_{\rm E}$ transform of f reduces to the classical $H_{\rm E}$ transform of f.

In the sequel we establish boundedness and smoothness properties for the generalized $H_{\rm E}$ transformation.

Proposition 3: Let $f\in T_{\mu}.$ The generalized $H_{\hbox{\it E}}$ transform $H_{\hbox{\it E}}f$ of f is bounded on I.

PROOF: By virtue of [15, Theorem 1.8-1] we have that

$$|(H_E f)(y)| \le C \max_{0 \le k \le r} \gamma_k(K(x,y)), y>0$$

for certain nonnegative integer r and C>0.

Therefore,

$$|(H_{E}f)(y)| \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup_{x \in I} |\xi(x)S_{\mu, x}^{k}((xy)^{1/2})|_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{E(u)}J_{\mu}(ux)J_{\mu}(uy)du| \leq$$

$$\leq C \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq r}^{\infty} \frac{u^{2m}}{E(u)} du, \text{ for } y>0$$

where C, is a positive constant.

Proposition 4: Let F(y) be the generalized H_E transform of f. Then F(y) is infinitely differentiable on I and

$$\frac{d^n}{dy^n}F(y) = \langle f(x), \frac{\partial^n}{\partial y^n}K(x,y)\rangle \quad \text{, for } y{\in} I \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF: We only prove the assertion for n=1. The proof for other values of

n can be done in a similar way.

Let h be an arbitrary increment in y. Without any loss of generality assume $0<|h|<\frac{y}{2}$. Now

$$\frac{F(y+h)-F(y)}{h}=<\!\!f(x),\!\frac{K(x,y+h)-K(x,y)}{h}\!\!>$$

Let $\vartheta_h(x,y)$ denote the expression

$$\frac{K(x,y+h)-K(x,y)}{h} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}K(x,y)$$

We will show that $\vartheta_h(x,y)$ converges to zero in T_{μ} as h-0. Our result will then follow from the continuity of f(x). Now, for any nonnegative integer k

$$\xi(x)S_{\mu,x}^{k}\vartheta_{h}(x,y) =$$

$$= (-1)^{k}\xi(x)_{h}^{1}\int_{y}^{y+h}du\int_{y}^{u}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}2((tx)^{1/2}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{E(v)}v^{2k+1}J_{\mu}(vx)J_{\mu}(vt)dv)dt$$
(4)

By using wellknown properties of Bessel functions we can deduce from (4) that $\lim_{h\to 0} \xi(x) S_{\mu,x}^{\ k} \vartheta_h(x,y) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in (0,\infty)$.

We now extend the inversion formula stated in Theorem 1 ([2]) to T'_{μ} interpreting convergence in the weak distributional sense.

Theorem 2: Let $f \in T'_{\mu}$ and let F(y) be the generalized H_E transform of f. Then for each $\phi \in D(I)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} < \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-a_n^2 S_{\mu,y}) F(y), \ \phi(y) > = < f(x), \phi(x) >$$

PROOF: Let ϕ be in D(I). According to standard definitions, one has

$$<\!\!\prod_{k=1}^{n}\;(1\text{-}a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})F(y),\;\varphi(y)\!\!>\;=\;<\!\!F(y),\!\!\prod_{k=1}^{n}\;(1\text{-}a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})\varphi(y)\!\!>\;$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

By virtue of Proposition 3, F(y) generates a regular distribution in D'(I), hence we can write

$$<\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_k^{-2}S_{\mu,y})F(y), \phi(y)> = \int_a^b F(y)\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_k^{-2}S_{\mu,y})\phi(y)dy$$

where $0 < a < b < \infty$ and the support of ϕ is contained in [a,b].

We now prove by making use of Riemann sums that

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(y) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{2} S_{\mu,y}) \phi(y) dy =$$

$$= \langle f(x), \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{2} S_{\mu,y}) (K(x,y)) \phi(y) dy \rangle$$
(5)

In effect, if $\{y_{V,m}\}_{V=0}^m$ are partitions of the interval (a,b) such that $d_m = y_{V,m} - y_{V-1,m}$ (v=1,2,...,m) tends to zero as $m \to \infty$, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})(F(y))\phi(y)dy =$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} d_{m} \sum_{V=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y_{V,m}})(F(y_{V,m}))\phi(y_{V,m}) =$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle f(x), d_{m} \sum_{V=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y_{V,m}})(K(x,y_{V,m}))\phi(y_{V,m}) \rangle$$

Therefore we have to see that

$$\begin{array}{l} \lim_{m \to \infty} \, \mathrm{d}_m \, \sum_{v=1}^m \, \prod_{k=1}^n \, (1 \text{-} a_k^{-2} S_{\mu,y_{V,m}}) (K(x,y_{V,m})) \phi(y_{V,m}) = \\ \\ = \int_a^b \, \prod_{k=1}^n \, (1 \text{-} a_k^{-2} S_{\mu,y}) (K(x,y)) \phi(y) \mathrm{d}y \end{array}$$

in the sense of convergence in T_{μ} .

Notice that for every l∈ N,

$$|\xi(x)(\int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})S_{\mu,x}(K(x,y))\phi(y)dy - d_{m} \int_{a}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})S_{\mu,x}(K(x,y_{v,m}))\phi(y_{v,m}))| \le C\xi(x)$$
(6)

for a certain C constant. Hence, given an $\varepsilon>0$ there exist two real numbers X_1 and X_2 $(X_1< X_2)$ such that the left hand side of (6) is less than ε provided that $x\in (0,X_1)\cup (X_2,\infty)$.

Moreover

converges to

$$\xi(x) \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - a_{k}^{2} S_{\mu,y}) S_{\mu,x} (K(x,y)) \phi(y) dy$$
 (7)

uniformly on every compact subset of I, as $m\rightarrow\infty$, because the integrand in (7) is continuous, and therefore uniformly continuous, on each compact.

Hence (5) is proved.

To finish the proof of this theorem we must prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - a_k^{-2} S_{\mu, y})(K(x, y)) \phi(y) dy = \phi(x)$$
 (8)

in the sense of convergence in T_u.

For every l∈ N, we get

$$S_{\mu,x} \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1-a_{k}^{-2}S_{\mu,y})(K(x,y))\phi(y)dy =$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} (xy)^{1/2} (\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{E_{n}(u)} J_{\mu}(xu)J_{\mu}(uy)du) S_{\mu,y} \phi(y)dy$$

where $E_n(u) = \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1 + u^2 a_k^{-2})$, because according to (2) $S_{\mu,x}K(x,y) = S_{\mu,y}K(x,y)$.

By Hankel's theorem [12, E14.4],

$$S_{\mu,x}^{\ell}\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (xu)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(xu) du \int_{a}^{b} (yu)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(yu) S_{\mu,y}^{\ell}(\phi(y)) dy$$

for every $x \in I$.

We now define as in [2, pp. 884],

$$P(x,u) = (xu)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(xu) \int_{a}^{b} (yu)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(yu) S_{\mu,y}^{b}(\phi(y)) dy$$

and

$$P_{n}(x,u) = \frac{1}{E_{n}(u)}(xu)^{1/2}J_{\mu}(xu)\int_{a}^{b}(yu)^{1/2}J_{\mu}(yu)S_{\mu,y}^{2}(\phi(y))dy$$

Then, to prove (8) it is sufficient to see that

$$\sup_{x \in I} |\xi(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} (P(x,u) - P_{n}(x,u)) du| \rightarrow 0$$
 (9)

as n→∞.

By invoking [15, p. 139], if $\Phi \in D(I)$ then

$$\int_0^\infty (xy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(xy) \Phi(x) dx$$

is an absolutely integrable function on I and one has

$$\int_0^\infty \big|P(x,u)\big| \mathrm{d} u \, \leq \, C \, \int_0^\infty \big|\int_0^\infty (uy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(uy) S_{\mu,y}^{\ \ell}(\varphi(y)) \mathrm{d} y \, \big| \, \mathrm{d} u$$

for a certain C constant independent of x. Hence, given an $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $U_0>0$ such that

$$\int_{Y}^{z} |P(x,u)| du < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \text{ for every } Z > Y > U_{0} \text{ and } x \in I$$
 (10)

On the other hand, by applying the second mean value theorem and by (10) we can deduce

$$\left|\int_{\gamma}^{z} P_{n}(x,u) du\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 (11)

provided that $U_0 < Y < Z$, $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, for every n ∈ N

$$\begin{split} & \big| \int_0^{U_0} (P_n(x,u) - P(x,u)) \mathrm{d} u \big| \le \\ & \le \int_0^{U_0} \big| \frac{1}{E_n(u)} - 1 \big| \int_0^{\infty} \big| (uy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(uy) S_{\mu,y}^{\nu} \phi(y) \mathrm{d} y \big| \mathrm{d} u \end{split}$$

and, by virtue of Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{U_{0}} (P_{n}(x,u) - P(x,u)) du \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 (12)

for every $n>n_0$ and for $x \in I$.

By combining (10), (11) and (12) we can establish (9).

Thus, the generalized inversion formula is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.S. DUBE AND J.N. PANDEY, On the Hankel transforms of distributions, Tohoku Math. J., 27 (1975), 337-354.
- [2] CH. FOX, The inversion of convolution transforms by differential operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 4 (1953), 880-887.
- [3] I.I. HIRSCHMANN, Jr. AND D.V. WIDDER, Generalized inversion for convolution transforms, Duke Math. J., 17 (1950), 391-402.

- [4] I.I. HIRSCHMANN, Jr. AND D.V. WIDDER, Generalized inversion formulas for convolution transforms, Duke Math. J., 15 (1948), 659-696.
- [5] I.I. HIRSCHMANN, Jr. AND D.V. WIDDER, The inversion of a generalized class of convolution transforms, Trans. Amer Math. Soc., 66 (1949), 135-201.
- [6] O.P. MISRA AND J.L. LAVOINE, Transform analysis of generalized functions, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [7] C. NASIM, An inversion formula for a class of integral transforms, J. of Math. Anal. and Appl., 52 (1975), 525-537.
- [8] J.N. PANDEY, An extension of Haimo's form of Hankel convolution, Pacific J. Math., 28 (3) (1969), 641-651.
- [9] L. SCHWARTZ, Theory des distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1957-58.
- [10] E.C. TITCHMARSH, Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1948.
- [11] F. TREVES, Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [12] G.N. WATSON, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.
- [13] A.H. ZEMANIAN, Distribution theory and transform analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [14] A.H. ZEMANIAN, Inversion formulas for the distributional Laplace transformation, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 14 (1966), 159-166.
- [15] A.H. ZEMANIAN, Generalized integral transformations, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.

Departamento de Analisis Matematico Facultad de Matematicas Universidad de La Laguna La Laguna (TENERIFE) CANARY ISLANDS SPAIN